




2nd European Machine Vision Forum – Evaluation of Feedback Form Entries

Participants: 125 / Feedback forms received: 45 / quote: 36 %

Results





2nd European Machine Vision Forum – Evaluation of Feedback Form Entries

Participants: 125 / Feedback forms received: 45 / quote: 36 %

Comments

What did you like in particular?

Podium/panel discussion (8 x)
Mix of academia and industry (4x)
Talks (2x), good invited talks, quality of speakers really good
Nice range of timely topics
Very fine discussion climate
Session and talk length
Starting the day before with evening event
Coverage of topics in 2 days
Talks started at basics and didn't get lost in too many details
Venue/location, beautiful place with sightseeing (3x)
Networking (2x)
Well organized
Expert speakers and hosts
Scope of talks, technology state of the art
Significant improvement of forum (content and usefulness) in comparison to the first forum 2016
Relevancy to real world applications and overall knowledge of issues within the field of study
3D, deep learning
Industry opportunity – much better focus than 1st forum
Long breaks

What are your suggestions for improvement?

Better posters & demos

Chairs were not so comfortable
1-2 keywords per company on the list of participants, e.g. hyperspectral cameras, surveillance software ...
Slightly larger venue to accommodate people
Not start in the evening/late afternoon
Very much input and quick pace, perhaps it is better to reduce number of talks?
Since the forum is about vision systems for industry, I expected more industrial points of view instead of an electronic vision system point of view
More coffee machines (2x)
USB sticks with slides rather than paper version
Get more deep learning people involved. The only talk concerning this topic was outdated and highly inaccurate
I would like to see more about deep learning applications in industrial areas.
I think overtime the size will grow leading to my only suggestion: more invited talks and longer forum (more contributions)
More poster/demo

More space for laptop usage, WiFi
Since the forum is about vision systems for industry, I expected more industrial point of view instead of a more general electronic/vision system point of view

Proposals for future forums

I'd suggest to put the "introductory-to-the-topic" talk from Prof. Theodoridis to the beginning of the conference.
Full prints only for participants who indicate it during registration? Maybe single handout pages per presentation and digital version of full slides
Help distinct between EMVA forum and Embedded Vision Europe
Invited talks can be considered as doctoral trainings for PHD students
2nd day (Thursday) was too long; limit to 8 hours
Applications: How are problems in image processing solved?
Short tour of facilities or research institute
A bit more places/space and seats in rest area
Keep the mix of invited and contributed talks. Panel discussion is a must! Talks are (and must be) didactically good prepared
I think it's a shame that conference theme (?) have also a poster place, we could have more posters and address more them (?) instead. Maybe we would also have completely different posters between the two days.
I would appreciate having a table as in 1st edition to take notes.
Entering the Tech Gate orientation was not obvious and lift floor neither.
More automotive oriented e.g., driving assistance, automated driving
Presentations which have a lot of slides and/or technical concepts should be presented in a more interesting way or at least scheduled in the early morning

Further comments

Less paper!
Thanks to all the organizers 😊
This event was really useful
I feel like quite some speakers just recycled old talks and did not consider who sits in the audience
Some caffeinated soft drinks would be nice for non-coffee drinkers
I appreciated conferences on coding/optimizations. I found the quality of speakers really good understanding (s.o. -> talks).
I particularly liked the talk of Prof. Theodoridis, these type of more theoretical talks are very useful
On the arrival day, the finger food was poor, most people have skipped lunch, so it would have been best to have more food choices and quantities (for example like during the lunch sessions which were really good)

2nd European Machine Vision Forum, AIT, Vienna, September 2017

Average evaluation of invited and contributed talks

total: 39 (average)

| excellent | very good | good | moderate | poor |
|-----------|-----------|-------|----------|------|
| 9,7 | 16 | 9 | 4 | 0,3 |
| 24,9% | 41,0% | 23,1% | 10,2% | 0,8% |

